

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/533,443 Examiner PHUONG HUYNH	Applicant(s) COURTY ET AL. Art Unit 1644
--	--	---	---

All Participants:

(1) PHUONG HUYNH.

(2) Robert A Madsen.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20, 27-28, 35 and 36

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreeded to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phuong Huynh/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)